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• **22 Core indicators in 5 Priorities for Action**

  • **Priority 1:** Ensure that disaster risk reduction is a national and a local priority with a strong institutional basis for implementation.

  • **Priority 2:** Identify, assess and monitor disaster risks and enhance early warning.

  • **Priority 3:** Use knowledge, innovation and education to build a culture of safety and resilience at all levels.

  • **Priority 4:** Reduce the underlying risk factors.

  • **Priority 5:** Strengthen disaster preparedness for effective response at all levels.
Input level: Integrated Disaster risk management policies

- Risk Knowledge
  - Reducing Existing Risk
    - Risk sensitive public sector
    - Risk sensitive private sector
  - Preventing New Risk
    - Risk sensitive public sector
    - Risk sensitive private sector
  - Strengthening Resilience
    - Resilient public sector
    - Resilient private sector
- Risk Governance
Continuity from HFA

PA1: ensure that disaster risk reduction is a national and a local priority with a strong institutional basis for implementation

PA2: Identify, assess and monitor disaster risks and enhance early warnings

PA3: Use knowledge, innovation and education to build a culture of safety and resilience at all levels

PA4: reduce underlying risk factors

PA5: Strengthen disaster preparedness for effective response at all levels

Risk governance

Risk knowledge

Risk knowledge

Preventing new risk

Reducing existing risk
Clarifying responsibilities of each stakeholder (Examples)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder</th>
<th>Public function protection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>✓ Risk assessment and retrofitting of schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Contingency planning in schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>✓ Risk assessment and retrofitting of health facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Contingency planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Development</td>
<td>✓ Risk sensitive infrastructures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Risk sensitive building codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>✓ Catastrophe insurance to protect public finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Risk sensitive public investment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Examples of missing policies/issues in current HFA monitoring system

- Private sector engagement
- Insurance and risk transfer
- Social protection
- Economic and financial regulation
- Water management
- Cascading impacts

..........etc.
Input level:
Disaster risk management policies

• **Success Indicator:** Effective DRM policies in place to support public sector, households and businesses.

• **Data source:** national multi-stakeholder monitoring, including civil society, local governments and private sector

• **Nationally appropriate:** Countries to select relevant indicators from wide array proposed as menu
Implementation

• **Periodicity of monitoring:** every 4 years (suggested) linked to SDG periodicity

• **Dashboard:** each indicator family presented graphically highlighting progress towards targets

• **Peer review:** voluntary process involving groups of countries with similar challenges
HFA Peer Review

• Countries voluntarily subject themselves to review by three peer countries from the region; rotating responsibilities a possibility.

• National peer reviews of UK (2013) and Finland (2013)

• Interest has been expressed by countries in Africa, Americas, Asia and Europe in undergoing HFA Peer Review, which through external assessment of peers and mutual learning seeks inter alia:
  – to enhance effective HFA implementation and strengthen policy making for disaster risk management (DRM);
  – to stimulate all-of-government involvement in DRM and transferability of good and innovative practices in a country;
  – to foster policy dialogue in the region and enhance regional cooperation.
Timeline for development

• **Q2 2014:** pilot testing of indicator system, briefings at Regional DRR platforms, consultations with UN systems and experts

• **Q3 2014:** Consultations at Preparatory Committees for WCDRR

• **Q4 2014:** Consultations at Preparatory Committees for WCDRR

• **Q1 2015:** Adoption in the context of the Post 2015 Framework for DRR
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